

STATE OF RHODE ISLAND
EXECUTIVE OFFICE OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES
APPEALS OFFICE

DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

v.

DOCKET NO. 26-3151

[REDACTED]

DECISION

I. INTRODUCTION

A Microsoft Teams hearing on the above-entitled matter was conducted by an Administrative Disqualification Hearing Officer on June 30, 2026. The Department of Administration, Office of Internal Audit, Fraud Unit (Agency) on behalf of the Department of Human Services (DHS) initiated this matter for an Administrative Disqualification Hearing to examine the charge that [REDACTED] (Respondent) committed an Intentional Program Violation (IPV) of the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP). The Agency argues that the Respondent did not accurately report the household income. The Agency is seeking that the Respondent be charged with an IPV for the period of October 17, 2022, through May 23, 2023, and be disqualified from SNAP for a period of 12 months. For the reasons discussed in more detail below, the Administrative Disqualification Hearing has been decided in the Agency's favor.

II. JURISDICTION

The Executive Office of Health and Human Services (EOHHS) is authorized and designated by R.I.G.L. §42-7.2-6.1 and EOHHS regulation 210-RICR-10-05-2 to be the entity responsible for appeals and hearings related to DHS Programs. The Administrative Hearing was held in accordance with the Administrative Procedures Act (R.I.G.L. §42-35-1 et. Seq.) and EOHHS regulation 210-RICR-10-05-2.

III. ISSUE

The issue is whether the Respondent committed a SNAP IPV by intentionally making a false statement, or by misrepresenting, concealing, or withholding facts to receive SNAP benefits she was not entitled to, in accordance with Federal and Departmental Policy as set forth below.

IV. STANDARD OF PROOF

The Administrative Disqualification Hearing Officer is required to carefully consider the evidence and determine by clear and convincing evidence if an IPV occurred. The Agency's burden to support claims with clear and convincing evidence requires that they present clear, direct, and convincing facts that the Hearing Officer can accept as highly probable.

V. PARTIES AND EXHIBITS

Present for the Agency was Fraud Internal Auditor Brittney Mederios (Auditor Mederios), who investigated the Respondent's SNAP case, and provided testimony based on the facts established in determining an IPV of the SNAP regulations. The Agency offered the following evidence as exhibits at the hearing:

- Exhibit #1: Cited excerpts of relevant Rhode Island SNAP regulations: 218-RICR-20-00-1.9(C), 218-RICR-20-00-1.5.2(A), 218-RICR-20-00-1.5.2(A)(2)(a)(2), 218-RICR-20-00-1.5.2(A)(2)(a)(3), 218-RICR-20-00-1.8(A).

- Exhibit #2: The employment and wage verification record for [REDACTED]
- Exhibit #3: SNAP application signed October 7, 2022.
- Exhibit #4: Interview documentation dated October 17, 2022.
- Exhibit #5: Benefits Decision Notice (BDN) dated October 17, 2022.
- Exhibit #6: SNAP Interim Report (SNAP IR) signed March 16, 2023.
- Exhibit #7: Eligibility Technician (ET) case notes dated May 23, 2023.
- Exhibit #8: BDN dated May 23, 2023.
- Exhibit #9: Electronic Disqualified Recipient system (EDRs) stating no previous SNAP disqualification for the Respondent.
- Exhibit #10: An Important SNAP Notice (SNAP Packet) dated April 10, 2026.
- Exhibit #11: Email correspondence from Auditor Mederios to the Respondent.

The Respondent, [REDACTED] did not attend the telephonic hearing. In accordance with 7 CFR § 273.16(e)(4) and 218-RICR-20-00-1.22(K)(13), the hearing was conducted without the Respondent present or represented.

VI. RELEVANT LAW and/or REGULATIONS

7 C.F.R. § 273.16, entitled “Disqualification for Intentional Program Violation (IPV)” (c), defines an IPV as intentionally making false or misleading statement, or misrepresenting, concealing, or withholding facts; or committing any act that constitutes a violation of SNAP, SNAP regulations, or any State statute “for the purpose of using, presenting, transferring, acquiring, receiving, possessing or trafficking of SNAP benefits or EBT cards.” To determine whether an IPV has occurred, 7 C.F.R. § 273.16(e)(6), requires the State to conduct an administrative disqualification hearing and to determine whether there is clear and convincing evidence that an IPV occurred.

Similarly, the Rhode Island regulation 218-RICR-20-00-1 § 1.9, entitled “Intentional Program Violations” (A) provides that the Office of Internal Audit is responsible for investigating any case of alleged IPV and ensuring the appropriate cases are acted upon through an Administrative Disqualification Hearings whenever there is sufficient documentary evidence to substantiate that an individual has committed an IPV. Like its federal counterpart, the R.I regulation § 1.9(B) requires that “clear and convincing evidence” demonstrates that the household member(s) committed or intended to commit an IPV, as defined in § 1.9(C).

Household income means all income from whatever source, per 218-RICR-20-00-1.5.2(A), including all wages and salaries for services performed as an employee, except exclusions. 7 C.F.R. § 273.9(b) and 218-RICR-20-00-1.5.2(A).

Pursuant to 218-RICR-20-00-1.13.1(A)(2)(a), Simplified Reporter households are required to report changes in income which bring the household’s gross income in excess of the gross income eligibility standard for their household size by the 10th day of the month following the month in which the change occurred. Per 218-RICR-20-00-1.8(A)(3), a Simplified Reporter is assigned a 12-month certification period.

Per Rhode Island regulation 218-RICR-20-00-1.9(A)(3)(c)(1), and Federal Regulation 7 C.F.R. § 273.16(b)(1)(i), if there is a finding that an IPV occurred, the disqualification penalty for the first violation is one (1) year.

VII. FINDINGS OF FACT

1. The Agency received a referral from DHS alleging that the Respondent received SNAP benefits while failing to report her correct household income. As a result, an investigation commenced into the Respondent’s SNAP case.

2. The employment and wage verification form shows that the Respondent was hired at [REDACTED] on October 3, 2022, and she received weekly wages from October 13, 2022, through April 20, 2023.

3. On October 7, 2022, DHS received the Respondent's SNAP application. She signed under the penalty of perjury, showing she was aware of her responsibilities, and the SNAP Penalty Warnings. The Respondent knowingly concealed that she was working at [REDACTED] by leaving the section asking about income blank.

4. A BDN dated October 17, 2022, was mailed to the Respondent stating her SNAP was approved. Page 2 informs her of the SNAP benefit amount for her household and eligibility period and the "Changes You Must Report for SNAP: You must tell us if your household's gross monthly income (before taxes) is more than \$1,473. You must tell us no later than 10 days after the end of the month when your income went up." Pages 3 through 9 explains her RIGHTS and RESPONSIBILITIES, Specifically, "you have the responsibility to supply accurate information about your income, resources and living arrangements on this application." In October 2022, according to the employment wage and payment verification form the Respondent received her first paycheck on October 13, 2022, in the gross amount of \$1,520 from [REDACTED]. The Appellant was required to report this income to DHS, which she did not.

5. On March 16, 2023, DHS received the Respondent's SNAP interim report. The Respondent reports self-employment income as a dance teacher but omitted ongoing employment with [REDACTED]. The Respondent signed the SNAP interim report form under penalty of perjury.

6. The Respondent called DHS on May 23, 2023, to check status of SNAP benefits. According to the case note, she only reported self-employment income. The Eligibility

Technician discovered the [REDACTED] employment in the work number during the interview process. The earned income was added to the case and the SNAP case closed due to exceeding the income limits.

7. A BDN dated May 23, 2023, was mailed to the Respondent stating her SNAP benefits were ended on March 31, 2023, and the reason why was the household income exceeded the limit for the SNAP program. Page 3 through 9 explains her RIGHTS, RESPONSIBILITIES, and the SNAP PENALTY WARNINGS. Specifically, “ you have the responsibility to supply accurate information about your income, resources and living arrangement on this application.”

8. On April 7, 2026, the Agency logged into EDRs to determine the Respondent’s SNAP disqualification period. The query shows the Respondent had no previous disqualifications. Therefore, this is the Respondent’s first violation, and the Agency is pursuing a 12-month disqualification from SNAP pursuant to 7 CFR § 273.16.

9. A SNAP Packet dated April 10, 2026, was mailed to the Respondent at [REDACTED]. The SNAP Packet states that the Respondent is being charged with committing an IPV for the period of October 17, 2022, through May 23, 2023, because of “Unreported Earned Income.”

10. On April 27, 2026, Auditor Mederios contacted the Respondent by email as she reported she had moved and did not receive the SNAP packet. Auditor Mederios sent the SNAP packet by email to inform her that a SNAP notice had been mailed to her address of record. Auditor Mederios also informed the Respondent that the case would be referred for an Administrative Disqualification Hearing if there was no response by close of business on May 1, 2026.

11. On May 28, 2026, an Advanced Notice of Administrative Disqualification Hearing

was sent by first class mail to the Respondent's address of record, [REDACTED]. The notice stated that the hearing was scheduled for June 30, 2026, at 9:00 AM. In accordance with 7 C.F.R. § 273.16(e)(3) and 218-RICR-20-001-1.23(K)(6), EOHHS provided at least thirty (30) days advance notice, in writing of the scheduling of the disqualification hearing, and the hearing was held accordingly.

VIII. DISCUSSION

The Agency maintains that the Respondent knowingly concealed her employment at [REDACTED] while receiving SNAP benefits despite repeated notice of reporting responsibilities in multiple documents she signed under penalty of perjury. Therefore, the Agency's position is clear, the Respondent committed an IPV from October 17, 2022, through May 23, 2023, which is the last month the Respondent received earned income. Thereby, she should be disqualified for SNAP for a period of twelve months.

The record consists of the evidence and testimony from the Agency. The evidence establishes that the Respondent continued to conceal her employment after receiving the October 17, 2022, BDN which specifically informed her that she was required to report to DHS if her gross monthly income exceeded \$1,473 no later than 10 days after the end of the month when the change occurred. According to the employment and wage verification record obtained by DHS, the Respondent received her first check of \$1,520 from [REDACTED] which would put her over the monthly amount she was required to report according to the BDN. The BDN informed her that she has a responsibility to supply accurate income information and repeated the SNAP Penalty Warnings for intentionally breaking SNAP rules. SNAP regulations state that Simplified Reporters are required to report changes in income which bring the household's income in excess of the gross income eligibility standard for the household size by the 10th day of the month

following the month in which the change occurred. 218-RICR-20-00-1.13.1(A) (2)(a). However, the Respondent, as a Simplified Reporter, failed to report this income to DHS.

Furthermore, when the Respondent submitted her completed SNAP IR on March 16, 2023, she again concealed her employment at [REDACTED]. The Respondent signed the SNAP interim report attesting under penalty of perjury that her answers on the report were correct and complete to the best of her knowledge.

Based on the above, the Respondent was required to report her household income pursuant to 218-RICR-20-00-1.5.2(A). The Respondent was informed of the Penalties for Perjury, her Rights and Responsibilities, and the SNAP Penalty Warnings as required, but she failed to report her household income. Therefore, the Respondent intentionally provided false information, concealed information, and otherwise intentionally failed to report her correct household income. Accordingly, there is clear and convincing evidence that the Respondent committed an IPV on October 17, 2022, and continued through May 23, 2023.

IX. CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

After careful review of the testimony and evidence presented at the administrative disqualification hearing it is clear:

1. The Respondent was aware of the Reporting requirements, her Rights and Responsibilities, and the SNAP Penalty Warnings when she submitted her SNAP application on October 7, 2022.
2. The Respondent signed under the Penalties of Perjury statement attesting that her answers on the forms were correct and complete to the best of her knowledge. The Respondent, however failed to report her household's earned income to DHS on her March 16, 2023, SNAP

interim report.

3. The Agency has demonstrated by clear and convincing evidence that the Respondent knowingly failed to report her household earned income. Therefore, the Respondent intentionally misrepresented, concealed, or withheld facts pertinent to her SNAP case.

4. The Agency has demonstrated by clear and convincing evidence that the respondent committed an IPV of the SNAP regulations from October 17, 2022, through May 23, 2023, based on unreported earned income.

5. Consequently, the Respondent as head of household will not be able to participate in SNAP for twelve months per 7 C.F. R § 273.16(B)(1)(I) and 218-RICR-20-00-1.9(A)(3)(C)(1), as this is the Respondent's first IPV.

X. DECISION

Based on the foregoing findings of facts and conclusions of law, it is found that a final order be entered that the Agency's request for an IPV against the Respondent for twelve (12) months is granted.

AGENCY'S INTENTIONAL PROGRAM VIOLATION CHARGE IS GRANTED

/s/ Velmont Richardson

Administrative Disqualification Hearing Officer

NOTICE OF APPELLATE RIGHTS

This decision is a final order under R.I.G.L. § 42-35-12. Under R.I.G.L. § 42-35-15, this Order may be appealed to court within thirty (30) days of the mailing of this decision. Such appeal, if taken, must be completed by filing a complaint in court. The filing of the complaint

does not itself stay enforcement of this order. The agency may grant, or the reviewing court may order, a stay upon the appropriate terms.

Appeals are generally filed in the Providence County Superior Court. However, appeals affecting or concerning children under the age of eighteen (18) and/or appeals of a DCYF action may need to be filed in Providence Family Court. If you have any questions about which court a complaint for appeal should be made, you should seek the advice of an attorney, Rhode Island Legal Services, or the clerk of the court where you wish to file your appeal. The courts' contact information can be found on the judiciary's website (<https://www.courts.ri.gov>). Copies of the appeal must be served upon all parties in your case within ten (10) days of the filing of your appeal.

If you exercise any of these appellate rights, please inform the EOHHS appeals office of this so we can prepare a copy of the record for the court. You can contact the Appeals Office at OHHS.AppealsOffice@ohhs.ri.gov, 401.462.2132 (Phone), 401.462.0458 (Fax), or at 3 West Road, Virks Building, Cranston, RI 02908.

CERTIFICATION

I hereby certify that I mailed, via regular mail, postage prepaid, a true copy of the foregoing to [REDACTED]; copies were sent, via email to [REDACTED], to Brittny Mederios, Kimberly Seebeck, Iwona Ramian, Esq., Vania Rebello, Kimberly Rauch, Jenna Simeone, Kirsten Cornford, Jessica Patroliia and DHS Policy Office on this 28th day of

July, 2026.
